

## Massachusetts Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

**Massachusetts appreciates the opportunity to provide clarification to the FFY 2009 SPP/APR Data. The following provides additional explanation and clarification of data submitted in the revised FFY 2009 SPP/APR.**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps Massachusetts Clarification of SPP/APR												
1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.3%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 95.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.  The State reported that all nine of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.													
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.  [Results Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.  The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98.3%. The State’s data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 95%.													
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:  A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.  [Results Indicator]	<div>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and 2012.</div> <div>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they have a developmental delay or an established condition for this indicator are:</div> <table><tr><th><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th><th><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th><th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th><th><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th></tr><tr><td><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td><td>96.7%</td><td>96.6%</td><td>96.7%</td></tr><tr><td><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including</td><td>93.8%</td><td>93.1%</td><td>93.8%</td></tr></table>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	96.7%	96.6%	96.7%	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including	93.8%	93.1%	93.8%	Massachusetts revised its APR data for Indicator # 3 to report on all children based on the feedback from OSEP. As a result, Massachusetts’ FFY 2009 state percentages do not correspond appropriately with its state targets for this year. However, the state targets will remain as is until the Department is able to receive input regarding these targets with the broader provider community. The Department will include a revision to its targets in next year’s SPP
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>											
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	96.7%	96.6%	96.7%											
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including	93.8%	93.1%	93.8%											

**Massachusetts Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps Massachusetts Clarification of SPP/APR
	early language/communication) (%)				submission.
	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	96%	95.3%	96%	
	<b><u>Summary Statement 2</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></b>	
	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	97.9%	97.2%	97.9%	
	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	87%	83%	87%	
	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	92.9%	91.1%	92.9%	
	The State did not provide valid and reliable data for this indicator. These data are not valid and reliable because the State did not perform the correct calculation for this indicator, specifically the State removed the scores of some infants and toddlers from its calculations. Therefore, OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage or whether the State met its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.  The State’s eligibility criteria include eligible infants and toddlers who are at risk of having substantial developmental delays. Consistent with the measurement for this indicator, in its FFY 2009 APR the State reported separately on those at-risk infants and toddlers.				
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:  A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.  The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:				

**Massachusetts Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					OSEP Analysis/Next Steps Massachusetts Clarification of SPP/APR
C. Help their children develop and learn.  [Results Indicator]		<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	
	A. Know their rights (%)	78.6%	81.5%	72%	2.90%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	75.1%	78.3%	72%	3.20%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	86.3%	88%	87%	1.70%	
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data. The State met all of its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.					
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.  [Results Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.  The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 2.45%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 2.31%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 2.85%.					
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.  [Results Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.  The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 6.51%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 6.42%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 5.85%.					
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 97.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.  The State reported that of its one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for					

**Massachusetts Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps Massachusetts Clarification of SPP/APR</b>
[Compliance Indicator]	this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98.7%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 98.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the State did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator during FFY 2008. The State explained that it verified correction of the noncompliance, consistent with the requirements of OSEP Memo 09-02, prior to issuing findings of noncompliance.</p>	
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 99.2%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the State did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator during FFY 2008. The State explained that it verified correction of the noncompliance, consistent with the requirements of OSEP Memo 09-02, prior to issuing findings of noncompliance.</p>	
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 93.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the State did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator during FFY 2008. The State explained that it verified correction of the noncompliance, consistent with the requirements of OSEP Memo 09-02, prior to issuing findings of noncompliance.</p>	

**Massachusetts Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps Massachusetts Clarification of SPP/APR</b>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 97.2%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all ten of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint.</p>	
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one due process hearing.</p>	
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p>	

**Massachusetts Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps Massachusetts Clarification of SPP/APR</b>
	The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities for FFY 2010 through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 97.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 96.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.  OSEP has attached a copy of the Indicator C14 Data Rubric.	